

CexoR : An R package to uncover high-resolution protein-DNA interactions in ChIP-exo replicates

Pedro Madrigal

Modified: July, 2013. Compiled: April 12, 2014

Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute
Hinxton, Cambridge, UK

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Methodology	1
3	Example	2
4	References	4
5	Details	4

1 Introduction

For its unprecedented level of resolution, chromatin immunoprecipitation combined with lambda exonuclease digestion followed by sequencing (ChIP-exo) is a potential candidate to replace ChIP-seq as the standard approach for high-confidence mapping of protein- DNA interactions. Numerous algorithms have been developed for peak calling in ChIP-seq data. However, adjusting the statistical models to ChIP-exo making use of its strand-specificity can improve the identification of protein-DNA binding sites. The midpoint between the strand-specific paired peaks formed at its forward and reverse strands is delimited by the exonuclease stop sites, within the protein binding event is located (Rhee and Pugh, 2011).

2 Methodology

Lambda exonuclease stop site (5' end of the reads) counts are calculated separately for both DNA strands from the alignment files in BAM format using the Bioconductor *Rsamtools*. Counts are then normalized using linear scaling to the same sample depth of the smaller dataset. Using the Skellam distribution (Skellam, 1946), *CexoR* models at each nucleotide position the discrete signed difference of two Poisson counts at forward and reverse strands, respectively. Then, detecting nearby located significant count differences of opposed sign (peak-pairs) at both strands allows *CexoR* to delimit the flanks of the protein binding event location at base pair resolution. A one-sided p -value is obtained for each peak using the complementary cumulative Skellam distribution function, and a final p -value for the peak-pair (default cut-off $1e - 12$) is reported as the sum of the two p -values. To account for the reproducibility of replicated peak-pairs, which central point must be located at a user-defined maximum distance, p -values are submitted for irreproducible discovery rate

estimation (Li et al., 2011). Stouffer's and Fisher's combined p-values are given for the final peak-pair calls. Finally, BED files containing reproducible binding event locations formed within peak-pairs are reported, as well as their midpoints.

3 Example

We downloaded the 3 replicates of human CTCF ChIP-exo data from GEO (SRA044886) (Rhee and Pugh, 2011), and aligned the reads to the human reference genome (hg19) using Bowtie 1.0.0. Reads not mapping uniquely were discarded. We can search reproducible binding events between peak-pairs in the first million bp of Chr2 in the 3 biological replicates by:

```
R> options(width=60)
R> ## hg19. chr2:1-1,000,000
R>
R> owd <- setwd(tempdir())
R> library(CexoR)
R> rep1 <- "CTCF_rep1_chr2_1-1e6.bam"
R> rep2 <- "CTCF_rep2_chr2_1-1e6.bam"
R> rep3 <- "CTCF_rep3_chr2_1-1e6.bam"
R> r1 <- system.file("extdata", rep1, package="CexoR",mustWork = TRUE)
R> r2 <- system.file("extdata", rep2, package="CexoR",mustWork = TRUE)
R> r3 <- system.file("extdata", rep3, package="CexoR",mustWork = TRUE)
R> peak_pairs <- cexor(bam=c(r1,r2,r3), chrN="chr2", chrL=1e6, idr=0.01, N=3e4)
R> peak_pairs$bindingEvents
```

GRanges with 13 ranges and 6 metadata columns:

	seqnames	ranges	strand	IDR
	<Rle>	<IRanges>	<Rle>	<numeric>
[1]	chr2	[11501, 11701]	*	0
[2]	chr2	[18785, 18886]	*	0
[3]	chr2	[142184, 142371]	*	0
[4]	chr2	[172170, 172354]	*	0
[5]	chr2	[332699, 332870]	*	0
...
[9]	chr2	[662610, 662783]	*	0
[10]	chr2	[667465, 667634]	*	0
[11]	chr2	[714362, 714545]	*	0
[12]	chr2	[715918, 716096]	*	0
[13]	chr2	[850211, 850402]	*	0

	rep1.neg.log10pvalue	rep2.neg.log10pvalue
	<numeric>	<numeric>
[1]	30.4163126907231	23.8800099446662
[2]	17.1722792988759	23.6215053779463
[3]	14.0090264037776	14.1269192828087
[4]	17.1729114065705	17.3140464218041
[5]	13.7082602274835	20.3044099969383
...
[9]	30.4173675386899	34.1026478409501
[10]	30.416312746351	44.8250721483685
[11]	27.0319320795335	20.6462827639871
[12]	17.1725341291709	30.6523068792823
[13]	30.1158098266283	23.9692667994076

	rep3.neg.log10pvalue	Stouffer.pvalue	Fisher.pvalue
	<numeric>	<numeric>	<numeric>
[1]	23.5193517784223	0	0
[2]	23.5194902250265	0	0
[3]	20.0153779476671	0	0
[4]	23.5194901677857	0	0

```

[5] 13.9013686692951 0 0
...
[9] 26.8375668123792 0 0
[10] 27.015922891878 0 0
[11] 26.616622618567 0 0
[12] 23.6777302494668 0 0
[13] 13.9016067615724 0 0
---
```

```

seqlengths:
  chr2
1000000
```

```
R> peak_pairs$bindingCentres
```

```
GRanges with 13 ranges and 6 metadata columns:
```

	seqnames	ranges	strand	IDR
	<Rle>	<IRanges>	<Rle>	<numeric>
[1]	chr2	[11601, 11602]	*	0
[2]	chr2	[18836, 18837]	*	0
[3]	chr2	[142278, 142279]	*	0
[4]	chr2	[172262, 172263]	*	0
[5]	chr2	[332784, 332785]	*	0
...
[9]	chr2	[662696, 662697]	*	0
[10]	chr2	[667550, 667551]	*	0
[11]	chr2	[714454, 714455]	*	0
[12]	chr2	[716007, 716008]	*	0
[13]	chr2	[850306, 850307]	*	0

	rep1.neg.log10pvalue	rep2.neg.log10pvalue
	<numeric>	<numeric>
[1]	30.4163126907231	23.8800099446662
[2]	17.1722792988759	23.6215053779463
[3]	14.0090264037776	14.1269192828087
[4]	17.1729114065705	17.3140464218041
[5]	13.7082602274835	20.3044099969383
...
[9]	30.4173675386899	34.1026478409501
[10]	30.416312746351	44.8250721483685
[11]	27.0319320795335	20.6462827639871
[12]	17.1725341291709	30.6523068792823
[13]	30.1158098266283	23.9692667994076

	rep3.neg.log10pvalue	Stouffer.pvalue	Fisher.pvalue
	<numeric>	<numeric>	<numeric>
[1]	23.5193517784223	0	0
[2]	23.5194902250265	0	0
[3]	20.0153779476671	0	0
[4]	23.5194901677857	0	0
[5]	13.9013686692951	0	0
...
[9]	26.8375668123792	0	0
[10]	27.015922891878	0	0
[11]	26.616622618567	0	0
[12]	23.6777302494668	0	0
[13]	13.9016067615724	0	0

```

---
seqlengths:
  chr2
1000000
```

```
R> setwd(owd)
R>
```

13 reproducible peak-pair events are reported for the established thresholds (p -value $\leq 1e - 12$, IDR ≤ 0.01).

Important note: For the correct estimation of the IDR (Li et al., 2011) peak-pair calling should be relaxed (e.g., p -value=1e-3, or smaller depending on the sequencing depth), enabling the noise component be present in the data and therefore allowing the peak-pairs to be separated into a reproducible and an irreproducible groups. In the example shown above, as the dataset is very small and peaks are highly reproducible, IDR in the overlapped peak-pairs across the 3 replicates is zero. For more information about using IDR in high-throughput sequencing datasets see Land et al. (2012) and Bailey et al. (2013), or the mathematical description in Li et al. (2011).

4 References

- Bailey TL, et al. (2013). Practical Guidelines for the Comprehensive Analysis of ChIP-seq Data. **PLoS Comput Biol** 9: e1003326.
- Landt SG, et al. (2012). ChIP-seq guidelines and practices of the ENCODE and modENCODE consortia. **Genome Res** 22: 1813-1831.
- Skellam JG (1946) The frequency distribution of the difference between two Poisson variates belonging to different populations. **J R Stat Soc Ser A** 109: 296.
- Madrigal P, et al. (in preparation).
- Li Q, Brown J, Huang H, Bickel P (2011) Measuring reproducibility of high-throughput experiments. **Ann Appl Stat** 5: 1752-1779.
- Rhee HS, Pugh BF (2011) Comprehensive genome-wide protein-DNA interactions detected at single-nucleotide resolution. **Cell** 147: 1408-1419.

5 Details

This document was written using:

```
R> sessionInfo()
```

```
R version 3.1.0 (2014-04-10)
```

```
Platform: x86_64-unknown-linux-gnu (64-bit)
```

```
locale:
```

```
[1] LC_CTYPE=en_US.UTF-8      LC_NUMERIC=C
[3] LC_TIME=en_US.UTF-8      LC_COLLATE=C
[5] LC_MONETARY=en_US.UTF-8  LC_MESSAGES=en_US.UTF-8
[7] LC_PAPER=en_US.UTF-8     LC_NAME=C
[9] LC_ADDRESS=C             LC_TELEPHONE=C
[11] LC_MEASUREMENT=en_US.UTF-8 LC_IDENTIFICATION=C
```

```
attached base packages:
```

```
[1] parallel stats      graphics grDevices utils
[6] datasets methods    base
```

```
other attached packages:
```

```
[1] CexoR_1.2.0      IRanges_1.21.45
[3] BiocGenerics_0.10.0
```

```
loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
```

```
[1] BBmisc_1.5      BSgenome_1.32.0
```

```
[3] BatchJobs_1.2           BiocParallel_0.6.0
[5] BiocStyle_1.2.0        Biostrings_2.32.0
[7] DBI_0.2-7              GenomeInfoDb_1.0.0
[9] GenomicAlignments_1.0.0 GenomicRanges_1.16.0
[11] RCurl_1.95-4.1         RSQLite_0.11.4
[13] Rcpp_0.11.1            Rsamtools_1.16.0
[15] XML_3.98-1.1           XVector_0.4.0
[17] bitops_1.0-6           brew_1.0-6
[19] codetools_0.2-8        digest_0.6.4
[21] fail_1.2               foreach_1.4.2
[23] IDR_1.1.1              iterators_1.0.7
[25] plyr_1.8.1             rtracklayer_1.24.0
[27] sendmailR_1.1-2        stats4_3.1.0
[29] stringr_0.6.2          tools_3.1.0
[31] zlibbioc_1.10.0
```