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## 1 Introduction

This vignette illustrates use of some functions in the genefilter package that provide useful diagnostics for independent filtering [1]:

- kappa_p and kappa_t
- filtered_p and filtered_R
- filter_volcano
- rejection_plot


## 2 Data preparation

Load the ALL data set and the genefilter package:

```
> library("genefilter")
> library("ALL")
> data("ALL")
```

Reduce to just two conditions, then take a small subset of arrays from these, with 3 arrays per condition:


Figure 1: Left panel: plot produced by the filter_volcano function. Right panel: graph of the kappa_t function.

```
> bcell <- grep("^B", as.character(ALL$BT))
> moltyp <- which(as.character(ALL$mol.biol) %in%
+ c("NEG", "BCR/ABL"))
> ALL_bcrneg <- ALL[, intersect(bcell, moltyp)]
> ALL_bcrneg$mol.biol <- factor(ALL_bcrneg$mol.biol)
> n1 <- n2 <- 3
> set.seed(1969)
> use <- unlist(tapply(1:ncol(ALL_bcrneg),
+ ALL_bcrneg$mol.biol, sample, n1))
> subsample <- ALL_bcrneg[,use]
```

We now use functions from genefilter to compute overall standard devation filter statistics as well as standard two-sample $t$ and releated statistics.

```
> S <- rowSds( exprs( subsample ) )
> temp <- rowttests( subsample, subsample$mol.biol )
> d <- temp$dm
> p <- temp$p.value
> t <- temp$statistic
```


## 3 Filtering volcano plot

Filtering on overall standard deviation and then using a standard $t$-statistic induces a lower bound of fold change, albeit one which varies somewhat with the significance of the $t$-statistic. The filter_volcano function allows you to visualize this effect.

```
> S_cutoff <- quantile(S, .50)
> filter_volcano(d, p, S, n1, n2, alpha=.01, S_cutoff)
```

The output is shown in the left panel of Fig. 1.


Figure 2: Left panel: plot produced by the rejection_plot function. Right panel: graph of theta.

The kappa_p and kappa_t functions, used to make the volcano plot, compute the fold change bound multiplier as a function of either a $t$-test $p$-value or the $t$-statistic itself. The actual induced bound on the fold change is $\kappa$ times the filter's cutoff on the overall standard deviation. Note that fold change bounds for values of $|T|$ which are close to 0 are not of practical interest because we will not reject the null hypothesis with test statistics in this range.

```
> t <- seq(0, 5, length=100)
> plot(t, kappa_t(t, n1, n2) * S_cutoff,
+ xlab="|T|", ylab="Fold change bound", type="l")
```

The plot is shown in the right panel of Fig. 1.

## 4 Rejection count plots

### 4.1 Across $p$-value cutoffs

The filtered_p function permits easy simulataneous calculation of unadjusted or adjusted $p$-values over a range of filtering thresholds $(\theta)$. Here, we return to the full "BCR/ABL" versus "NEG" data set, and compute adjusted $p$-values using the method of Benjamini and Hochberg, for a range of different filter stringencies.

```
> table(ALL_bcrneg$mol.biol)
BCR/ABL NEG
    37 42
> S2 <- rowVars(exprs(ALL_bcrneg))
> p2 <- rowttests(ALL_bcrneg, "mol.biol")$p.value
> theta <- seq(0, .5, .1)
> p_bh <- filtered_p(S2, p2, theta, method="BH")
```

```
> head(p_bh)
```

|  | $0 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[1]$, | 0.9185626 | 0.8943104 | 0.8624798 | 0.8278077 | NA | NA |
| $[2]$, | 0.9585758 | 0.9460504 | 0.9304104 | 0.9059466 | 0.8874485 | 0.8709793 |
| $[3]$, | 0.7022442 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| $[4]$, | 0.9806216 | 0.9747555 | 0.9680574 | 0.9567131 | NA | NA |
| $[5]$, | 0.9506087 | 0.9349386 | 0.9123998 | 0.8836386 | NA | NA |
| $[6]$, | 0.6339004 | 0.5896890 | 0.5440851 | 0.4951371 | 0.4497915 | 0.4102711 |

The rejection_plot function takes sets of $p$-values corresponding to different filtering choices - in the columns of a matrix or in a list - and shows how rejection count $(R)$ relates to the choice of cutoff for the $p$-values. For these data, over a reasonable range of FDR cutoffs, increased filtering corresponds to increased rejections.

```
> rejection_plot(p_bh, at="sample",
+ xlim=c(0,.3), ylim=c(0,1000),
+ main="Benjamini & Hochberg adjustment")
```

The plot is shown in the left panel of Fig. 2.

### 4.2 Across filtering fractions

If we select a fixed cutoff for the adjusted $p$-values, we can also look more closely at the relationship between the fraction of null hypotheses filtered and the total number of discoveries. The filtered_R function wraps filtered_p and just returns rejection counts. It requires a $p$-value cutoff.

```
> theta <- seq(0, . 80, .01)
> R_BH <- filtered_R(alpha=.10, S2, p2, theta, method="BH")
> head(R_BH)
0% 1% 2% 3% 4% 5%
251251253 255 255 261
```

Because overfiltering (or use of a filter which is inappropriate for the application domain) discards both false and true null hypotheses, very large values of $\theta$ reduce power in this example:

```
> plot(theta, R_BH, type="l",
+ xlab=expression(theta), ylab="Rejections",
+ main="BH cutoff = .10"
+ )
```

The plot is shown in the right panel of Fig. 2.
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